Reconstruction of extended inflationary potentials for attractors

Qing Gao[1,*] and Yungui Gong[2,†]

[1]*School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China*

[2]*School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China*

arXiv:1703.02220v1 [gr-qc] 7 Mar 2017

Abstract

We give the procedure to reconstruct the extended inflationary potentials for general scalar-tensor theory of gravity and use the α attractor and the constant slow-roll model as examples to show how to reconstruct the class of extended inflationary potentials in the strong coupling limit. The class of extended inflationary potentials have the same attractor in the strong coupling limit, and the reconstructed extended inflationary potentials are consistent with the observational constraints. We also derive the condition on the coupling constant ξ for satisfying the strong coupling.

* gaoqing1024@swu.edu.cn

† yggong@mail.hust.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

The T model with the potential $V(\phi) \sim \tanh^{2n}(\phi/\sqrt{6})$ [1], the E model with the potential $V(\phi) \sim [1-\exp(-\sqrt{2/3}\,\phi)]^n$ [2], the Higgs inflation with the nonminimal coupling $\xi\psi^2 R$ in the strong coupling limit $\xi \gg 1$ [3, 4], and the Starobinsky model $R+R^2$ [5] all predict that $n_s = 1-2/N$ and $r = 12/N^2$ which are consistent with the Planck results $n_s = 0.968 \pm 0.006$ and $r_{0.002} < 0.11$ (95% C.L.) [6] for $N = 60$. The almost scale invariant power spectrum suggests that the slow-roll parameters ϵ and η are small at the horizon exit, while the duration of inflation is long enough to solve the problems in the standard big bang cosmology. So it was suspected that there are some relations among the scalar spectral tilt $n_s - 1$, the amplitude of the scalar perturbation A_s and the number of e-folds N before the end of inflation at the horizon exit [7]. Apparently, the mentioned models above support the inverse relation between $n_s - 1$ and N. Because the flatness of the potential is characterized by the slow-roll parameters and the observables are simple functions of the slow-roll parameters to the first order of approximation, we can reconstruct inflationary potentials by parametrizing the observables with N [8]. Note that the reconstruction is valid for single field slow-roll inflation only. Since higher order corrections are assumed to be small [9], the effects of higher order slow-roll parameters are not considered, but this does not mean that higher order slow-roll parameters are exactly zero. Of course, this reconstruction procedure may not work for all potentials [10] and may not be the good way to probe the physics at the early Universe [11].

The reconstruction of inflationary potentials was first carried out by Mukhanov through parametrizing the deviation of the equation of state (equivalent to ϵ) of the inflaton from the cosmological constant with the inverse power law $\beta/(N+1)^\alpha$ [12]. The parameters α and β in the Mukhanov parametrization were fitted to the observational data in [13], and the field excursion of the inflaton in these models was discussed in [14]. By assuming that both the slow-roll parameters ϵ and η scale as $1/N^p$ at the leading order in the large N limit, Roest divided some inflationary models into two universal classes with different behaviors of the scalar to tensor ratio r [15]. The classifications of inflationary models were then generalized to include the parametrization of $\epsilon(N)$ with the constant, exponential and logarithmic classes [10]. The inverse relation $n_s - 1 = -\alpha/N$ can be used to derive r [16], and the detailed reconstruction of inflationary potentials from the inverse parametrization was discussed in [17].

It is well known that $f(R)$ theory of gravity can be written as the scalar-tensor theory of gravity, and through the conformal transformation, the scalar-tensor theory of gravity can be written as the canonical scalar field minimally coupled to gravity. The potentials for the Higgs and the Starobinsky R^2 inflations are approximated as $V(\phi) = V_0[1-c\exp(-\sqrt{2/3}\,\phi)]$ in the Einstein frame. The potentials for the T model and E model have the same approximate behaviors, so they all give the same results on n_s and r. For the conformal coupling $\xi\psi^2 R$ with $\xi = -1/6$, the fields ψ and ϕ in different frames are related as $\phi = \sqrt{6}\tanh^{-1}(\psi/\sqrt{6})$, so the T model potential in the Einstein frame can be obtained from the monomial potential ψ^n in the Jordan frame [1, 2]. Furthermore, the same n_s and r are obtained from more general potentials $\lambda^2 f^2(\psi)$ with the nonminimal coupling $\xi f(\psi)R$ for arbitrary functions $f(\psi)$ in the strong coupling limit [18]. In general, it is possible to obtain any attractor from general scalar-tensor theories of gravity [19, 20] By varying the Kähler curvature, we can derive the α attractor with $n_s = 1 - 2/N$ and $r = 12\alpha/N^2$ [21], and the α attractor can also be derived from general scalar-tensor theory of gravity [19].

In this paper, we combine the idea of reconstruction and attractors from general scalar-tensor theories of gravity to reconstruct the extended inflationary potentials. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give the general formula and procedure for the reconstruction. In Sec. III, we discuss the reconstruction for the α attractors, and the reconstruction for exponential parametrization is discussed in Sec. IV. We conclude the paper in Sec. V.

II. THE RECONSTRUCTION METHOD

For the single field inflation, to the first order of slow-roll approximation, we have the relation,

$$\frac{d\ln\epsilon}{dN} = 2\eta - 4\epsilon, \tag{1}$$

so the scalar spectral tilt can be expressed as

$$n_s - 1 = -2\epsilon + \frac{d\ln\epsilon}{dN}, \tag{2}$$

where the slow-roll parameters

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dV/d\phi}{V(\phi)}\right)^2, \quad \eta = \frac{d^2V/d\phi^2}{V}. \tag{3}$$

Note that we take the reduced Planck mass $M_{pl}^2 = 1/(8\pi G) = 1$. Because

$$d\phi = \frac{dV/d\phi}{V} dN = \mp\sqrt{2\epsilon} dN, \tag{4}$$

so

$$\phi - \phi_e = \pm \int_0^N \sqrt{2\epsilon(N)} dN, \tag{5}$$

where the sign $\pm$ depends on the sign of the first derivative of the potential and the scalar field is normalized by the reduced Planck mass $M_{pl} = 1$. Substitute the relation (4) into Eqs. (2) and (3), we get [8, 17]

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dV/d\phi}{V}\frac{d\phi}{dN} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\ln V}{dN} = \frac{1}{2}(\ln V)_{,N} > 0, \tag{6}$$

$$n_s - 1 = -(\ln V)_{,N} + \left(\ln \frac{V_{,N}}{V}\right)_{,N} = \left(\ln \frac{V_{,N}}{V^2}\right)_{,N}. \tag{7}$$

If we parametrize one of the functions as $\epsilon(N)$, $n_s(N)$, $\phi(N)$ and $V(N)$, then we can derive the other functions by using Eqs. (2), (4) and (6). So once one of the functions is parametrized, in principle we can get the observables n_s and r, and reconstruct the potential $V(\phi)$ by using the relations (2), (4), (6) and (7). Similarly, we can use the so called β-function formalism [22]

$$\beta(\phi) = -\frac{2d\ln W(\phi)}{d\phi} \approx \pm\sqrt{2\epsilon}, \tag{8}$$

to reconstruct the potential, where the so called superpotential $W(\phi) = -2H(\phi)$.

To reconstruct the original potential $V_J[\psi(\phi)]$ in the Jordan frame, we need to have the relation between the potentials in different frames. For a general scalar-tensor theory in the Jordan frame

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\Omega(\psi)\tilde{R}(\tilde{g}) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\psi)\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\psi\nabla_\nu\psi - V_J(\psi)\right], \tag{9}$$

under the conformal transformations,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega(\psi)\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10}$$

$$d\phi^2 = \left[\frac{3}{2}\frac{(d\Omega/d\psi)^2}{\Omega^2(\psi)} + \frac{\omega(\psi)}{\Omega(\psi)}\right]d\psi^2, \tag{11}$$

we get the usual Einstein-Hilbet action with a minimally coupled scalar field,

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}R(g) - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi - V(\phi)\right], \tag{12}$$

where $V(\phi) = V_J(\psi)/\Omega^2(\psi)$. Therefore, once we reconstruct the potential $V(\phi)$ with the relations (2), (4) and (6) by parametrizing some functions, then the corresponding potential for the general scalar-tensor theory in the Jordan frame is $V_J(\psi) = \Omega^2(\psi)V(\phi)$. Due to the conformal factor $\Omega(\psi)$, all the potentials $V_J(\psi)$ correspond to the same potential $V(\phi)$ after the conformal transformation $\psi(\phi)$, so we get the same observable n_s and r, i.e., we get attractor. On the other hand, to reconstruct the potential $V_J(\psi)$, we need to specify the conformal factor $\Omega(\psi)$ and solve Eq. (11) to get the relationship between ψ and ϕ. In general, it is difficult to obtain an analytical relation. However, if the contribution from $\omega(\phi)$ is negligible, i.e., if the conformal factor satisfies the condition

$$\Omega(\psi) \ll \frac{3(d\Omega(\psi)/d\psi)^2}{2\omega(\psi)}, \tag{13}$$

then we get

$$\phi \approx \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln \Omega(\psi), \quad \Omega(\psi) \approx e^{\sqrt{2/3}\,\phi}. \tag{14}$$

The condition (13) is called the strong coupling limit. In the strong coupling limit, we get

$$V_J(\psi) \approx \Omega^2(\psi) V\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln \Omega(\psi)\right). \tag{15}$$

In this paper, we reconstruct the potential $V_J(\psi)$ in the strong coupling limit. We take $\omega(\psi) = 1$ and $\Omega(\psi) = 1 + \xi f(\psi)$, ξ is the dimensionless coupling constant and $f(\psi)$ is an arbitrary function. For simplicity, we take $f(\psi) = \psi^k$. For this specific choice of $\Omega(\psi)$, the strong coupling conditions (13) and (14) become

$$\xi \gg \left(\frac{2}{3k^2}\right)^{k/2} \left(e^{\sqrt{2/3}\,\phi} - 1\right)^{1-k} \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\, k\phi\right). \tag{16}$$

III. THE α ATTRACTORS

We take the power-law parametrization

$$r = 16\epsilon = \frac{12\alpha}{(N + N_0)^2}. \tag{17}$$

In this paper, we assume that the parametrization is valid until the end of inflation. At the end of inflation, $N = 0$ and $\epsilon = 1$, so we get $N_0 = \sqrt{3\alpha/4}$. From Eq. (2), we get

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N + N_0} - \frac{3\alpha}{2(N + N_0)^2} \approx 1 - \frac{2}{N + N_0}. \tag{18}$$

This is the result for the α attractor [21, 23]. More generally, we may take the power law parametrization $r = \beta/N^p$ [12], then we get $n_s = 1-p/N-\beta/(8N^p)$, but the observations [6] tell us that $p \approx 2$, so we consider the parametrization (17). Substitute the parametrization (17) into Eqs. (5) and (6), we get

$$V(N) = V_0 \exp\left(-\frac{3\alpha}{2}\frac{1}{N+N_0}\right), \tag{19}$$

$$\phi - \phi_e = \sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{2}}\,\ln\frac{N+N_0}{N_0}, \tag{20}$$

where

$$\phi_e = \sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{2}}\,\ln N_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{8}}\,\ln\frac{3\alpha}{4}. \tag{21}$$

So the reconstructed potential is [12, 20]

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \exp\left[-\frac{3\alpha}{2}\,e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\,\phi}\right]. \tag{22}$$

When $\alpha \ll 1$, the above potential reduces to the familiar potential $V(\phi) = V_0[1 - c\exp(-\sqrt{2/3\alpha}\,\phi)]$. Since $\phi_e < 0$ when $\alpha < 4/3$, in order to reconstruct the potential $V_J(\psi)$, we take the following transformation in the strong coupling limit

$$\phi \approx \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\ln\frac{\Omega(\psi)}{\Omega_0}, \quad \Omega(\psi) \approx \Omega_0 \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\phi\right), \tag{23}$$

Combining Eqs. (22) and (23), we get the reconstructed extended inflationary potential

$$V_J(\psi) = V_0\Omega^2(\psi)\exp\left[-\frac{3\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega(\psi)}\right)^{1/\sqrt{\alpha}}\right]. \tag{24}$$

For arbitrary functions $\Omega(\psi) = 1 + \xi f(\psi)$, we get the same α attractor (17) and (18) from the above potential (24) in the strong coupling limit (13). If in the strong coupling limit, $\xi f(\psi) \gg 1$, then $\Omega(\psi) \gg 1$ and $V_J(\psi) \approx V_0\xi^2 f^2$ for $\alpha = 1$. In particular, the Higgs inflation with the nonminimal coupling function $f(\psi) = \psi^2$ is recovered. In Fig.1, we take $\Omega_0 = 100$, $N = 60$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 0.1$, $f(\psi) = \psi^k$ with $k = 1/3$, $1/2$, 1, 2 and 3 as examples to show the attractors in the strong coupling limit. Substitute Eq. (20) into Eq. (16), in the strong coupling limit, the coupling constant ξ satisfies

$$\xi \gg \left(\frac{2\Omega_0}{3k^2}\right)^{k/2}(N+N_0)^{k\sqrt{\alpha}/2}\left[\Omega_0\,(N+N_0)^{\sqrt{\alpha}} - 1\right]^{1-k}. \tag{25}$$



FIG. 1. The numerical results of n_s and r for the scalar-tensor theory with the potential (24). We take $\Omega_0 = 100$, the power-law functions $f(\psi) = \psi^k$ with $k = 1/3,\ 1/2,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3$, and $N = 60$. The left panel shows the α attractor with $\alpha = 1$ and the right panel shows the α attractor with $\alpha = 0.1$. The coupling constant ξ increases along the direction of the arrow in the plot.

For $\alpha = 1$, we find $\xi \gg 0.002$ with $k = 3$ and $\xi \gg 1920$ with $k = 1/3$. For $\alpha = 0.1$, we find $\xi \gg 0.001$ with $k = 3$ and $\xi \gg 184$ with $k = 1/3$.

From Eq. (20), we get the field excursion

$$\Delta\phi = \phi_* - \phi_e = \sqrt{\frac{r}{8}}\,(N + N_0)\ln\frac{N + N_0}{N_0}, \tag{26}$$

which is bigger than the Lyth bound [24] $N\sqrt{r/8} = 2\sqrt{3}\,\alpha$. In particular, if we take $\alpha = 0.1$, then the Lyth bound gives $\Delta\phi = 0.3464$. If we use the modified Lyth bound [25, 26], the expected field excursion would be smaller. However, from Eq. (26), we get the super-Planckian field excursion $\Delta\phi = 2.0891$. As discussed in [27], the smallness of r or the Lyth bound cannot be used to rule out large field inflation.

IV. EXPONENTIAL PARAMETRIZATION

For the simple exponential parametrization

$$r = 16\epsilon = C\exp(-\beta N), \tag{27}$$

we get

$$n_s = 1 - \beta - \frac{C}{8}\exp(-\beta N). \tag{28}$$

Combining the parametrization (27) with Eqs. (5) and (6), we derive the potential

$$V(\phi) = V_0\exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{4}\phi^2\right). \tag{29}$$

The condition for the end of inflation $\epsilon(N=0)=1$ gives $C=16$. It is easy to show that when $r=8/N$, n_s reaches its maximum $\bar{n}_s=1-[1+\ln(2N)]/N$. If we take $N=50$, the maximum value is $\bar{n}_s=0.888$. If we take $N=60$, the maximum value is $\bar{n}_s=0.904$. These values are not consistent with the observations [6], so this model is excluded by the observations.

Now we consider the parametrization [8]

$$\epsilon(N)=\frac{\alpha\exp(-\beta N)}{1+s\exp(-\beta N)}, \tag{30}$$

where $0<\alpha<1$ and $s=-(1-\alpha)$ so that $\epsilon(N=0)=1$. The scalar spectral tilt is

$$n_s=1-\frac{\beta+2\alpha e^{-\beta N}}{1+se^{-\beta N}}. \tag{31}$$

Substitute the parametrization (30) into Eq. (1), we obtain the slow-roll parameter

$$\eta=-\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{(4\alpha+\beta s)e^{-\beta N}}{2(1+se^{-\beta N})}. \tag{32}$$

If $4\alpha+\beta s=0$, then we get the constant (ultra) slow-roll inflationary model with $\eta=-\beta/2$ [28–30].

From Eq. (6), we get

$$V(N)=V_0\left(1+se^{-\beta N}\right)^{-2\alpha/(\beta s)}. \tag{33}$$

From Eq. (5), we get

$$\phi-\phi_e=\pm\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha}}{\beta\sqrt{-s}}\left[\arccos(\sqrt{-s}\,e^{-\beta N/2})-\arccos(\sqrt{-s})\right], \tag{34}$$

where

$$\phi_e=\pm\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha}}{\beta\sqrt{-s}}\arccos(\sqrt{-s}). \tag{35}$$

Combining Eqs. (33) and (34), we get the potential

$$V(\phi)=V_0\left[\sin\left(\frac{\beta\sqrt{1-\alpha}}{2\sqrt{2\alpha}}\phi\right)\right]^{4\alpha/[\beta(1-\alpha)]}. \tag{36}$$

Using the transformation (14), we get the reconstructed extended potential

$$V_J(\psi)=V_0\Omega^2(\psi)\left[\sin\left(\frac{\beta\sqrt{3(1-\alpha)}}{4\sqrt{\alpha}}\ln\Omega(\psi)\right)\right]^{4\alpha/[\beta(1-\alpha)]}. \tag{37}$$

For arbitrary functions $\Omega(\psi)$, we get the attractors (30) and (31) in the strong coupling limit. In Fig.2, we use the constant slow-roll inflation with $\beta=4\alpha/(1-\alpha)$ and $\alpha=0.01/2.01$

as examples to show the attractors $n_s = 0.967$ and $r = 0.034$ in the strong coupling limit, we take $N = 60$ and $f(\psi) = \psi^k$ with $k = 1/3$, $1/2$, 1, 2 and 3. The models with different k become the model $V(\phi) = V_0 \sin(0.1\phi)$ with constant slow-roll parameter η in the strong coupling limit. From Eq. (16), we find that the strong coupling limit requires $\xi \gg 1147$ for $k = 1/3$ and $\xi \gg 0.08$ for $k = 3$. Form Eq. (34), we get $\phi_* = 9.91$. From Eq. (35),



FIG. 2. The numerical results of n_s and r for the scalar-tensor theory with the potential (37). We take $N = 60$ and the power-law functions $f(\psi) = \psi^k$ with $k = 1/3$, $1/2$, 1, 2 and 3 for the constant slow-roll inflation with $\beta = 4\alpha/(1-\alpha)$ and $\alpha = 0.01/2.01$. The coupling constant ξ increases along the direction of the arrow in the plot.

we get $\phi_e = 0.706$, so the field excursion is $\Delta\phi = 9.21$ while the Lyth bound requires that $\Delta\phi > 3.93$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Under the conformal transformations (10) and (11), we may get the same potential $V(\phi)$ in the Einstein frame from different potentials $V_J(\psi)$ and nonminimal couplings $\Omega(\psi)$ in the Jordan frame. Based on this observation, in principle we can derive any attractor from general scalar-tensor theory of gravity. On the other hand, we can reconstruct inflationary potentials by parametrizing the observables such as r and n_s with the number of e-folds N before the end of inflation at the horizon exit. We give the procedure to reconstruct the extended inflationary potentials with attractors for general scalar-tensor theory of gravity and use two particular parametrizations (17) and (30) to reconstruct the class of extended

inflationary potentials in the strong coupling limit. We also use the strong coupling condition (16) to derive the constraint on the coupling constant ξ. The derived results are consistent with current observations. In conclusion, we can reconstruct a class of extended inflationary potentials with the same attractor.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the center for quantum spacetime in Sogang University for the hospitality. This research was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11605061 and 11475065, the Major Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11690021.

[1] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, *Universality Class in Conformal Inflation*, *JCAP* **1307** (2013) 002, [arXiv:1306.5220].

[2] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, *Non-minimal Inflationary Attractors*, *JCAP* **1310** (2013) 033, [arXiv:1307.7938].

[3] D. I. Kaiser, *Primordial spectral indices from generalized Einstein theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 4295–4306, [astro-ph/9408044].

[4] F. L. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, *The Standard Model Higgs boson as the inflaton*, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 703–706, [arXiv:0710.3755].

[5] A. A. Starobinsky, *A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity*, *Phys. Lett. B.* **91** (1980) 99–102.

[6] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade et al., *Planck 2015 results. XX. Constraints on inflation*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **594** (2016) A20, [arXiv:1502.0211].

[7] R. Gobbetti, E. Pajer, and D. Roest, *On the Three Primordial Numbers*, *JCAP* **1509** (2015), no. 09 058, [arXiv:1505.0096].

[8] J. Lin, Q. Gao, and Y. Gong, *The model independent reconstruction of inflationary potentials*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **459** (2016) 4029–4037, [arXiv:1508.0714].

[9] Q. Gao and Y. Gong, *The challenge for single field inflation with BICEP2 result*, *Phys. Lett. B* **734** (2014) 41–43, [arXiv:1403.5716].

[10] J. Garcia-Bellido and D. Roest, *Large-N running of the spectral index of inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014), no. 10 103527, [arXiv:1402.2059].

[11] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and V. Vennin, *Shortcomings of New Parametrizations of Inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016), no. 12 123521, [arXiv:1609.0473].

[12] V. Mukhanov, *Quantum Cosmological Perturbations: Predictions and Observations*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2486, [arXiv:1303.3925].

[13] L. Barranco, L. Boubekeur, and O. Mena, *A model-independent fit to Planck and BICEP2 data*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014), no. 6 063007, [arXiv:1405.7188].

[14] L. Boubekeur, E. Giusarma, O. Mena, and H. Ramírez, *Phenomenological approaches of inflation and their equivalence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015), no. 8 083006, [arXiv:1411.7237].

[15] D. Roest, *Universality classes of inflation*, *JCAP* **1401** (2014), no. 01 007, [arXiv:1309.1285].

[16] P. Creminelli, S. Dubovsky, D. Lpez Nacir, M. Simonovi, G. Trevisan, G. Villadoro, and M. Zaldarriaga, *Implications of the scalar tilt for the tensor-to-scalar ratio*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015), no. 12 123528, [arXiv:1412.0678].

[17] T. Chiba, *Reconstructing the inflaton potential from the spectral index*, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2015** (2015), no. 7 073E02, [arXiv:1504.0769].

[18] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and D. Roest, *A universal attractor for inflation at strong coupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 011303, [arXiv:1310.3950].

[19] M. Galante, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and D. Roest, *Unity of Cosmological Inflation Attractors*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015), no. 14 141302, [arXiv:1412.3797].

[20] Z. Yi and Y. Gong, *Nonminimal coupling and inflationary attractors*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016), no. 10 103527, [arXiv:1608.0592].

[21] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and D. Roest, *Superconformal Inflationary α-Attractors*, *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 198, [arXiv:1311.0472].

[22] P. Binetruy, E. Kiritsis, J. Mabillard, M. Pieroni, and C. Rosset, *Universality classes for models of inflation*, *JCAP* **1504** (2015), no. 04 033, [arXiv:1407.0820].

[23] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and M. Porrati, *Minimal Supergravity Models of Inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013), no. 8 085038, [arXiv:1307.7696].

[24] D. H. Lyth, *What would we learn by detecting a gravitational wave signal in the cosmic microwave background anisotropy?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 1861–1863, [hep-ph/9606387].

[25] Q. Gao, Y. Gong, and T. Li, *Modified Lyth bound and implications of BICEP2 results*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015), no. 6 063509, [arXiv:1405.6451].

[26] Q. Gao, Y. Gong, T. Li, and Y. Tian, *Simple single field inflation models and the running of spectral index*, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **57** (2014) 1442–1448, [arXiv:1404.7214].

[27] A. Linde, *Gravitational waves and large field inflation*, *JCAP* **1702** (2017), no. 02 006, [arXiv:1612.0002].

[28] J. Martin, H. Motohashi, and T. Suyama, *Ultra Slow-Roll Inflation and the non-Gaussianity Consistency Relation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013), no. 2 023514, [arXiv:1211.0083].

[29] H. Motohashi, A. A. Starobinsky, and J. Yokoyama, *Inflation with a constant rate of roll*, *JCAP* **1509** (2015), no. 09 018, [arXiv:1411.5021].

[30] H. Motohashi and A. A. Starobinsky, "Constant-roll inflation: confrontation with recent observational data." 2017.